

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/092,298	03/07/2002		Isao Kameyama	020295 2619		
23850	7590	02/11/2004		EXAMINER		
		ATZ, QUINTOS	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA			
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DC	20006	2839			

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_				A					
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
	"OW A C O	10/092,29	092,298 KAMEYAMA, ISAO		40				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Chandrika		2839					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with th	ie correspondence a	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of STEIN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ication. days, a reply within the state ory period will apply and withous the app	ent, however, may a reply b utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS t lication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered time from the mailing date of this of	ely. communication.				
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>07 March 2002</u> .							
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b))⊠ This action is n	on-final.						
3) 🗌									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2002</u> Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	is/are: a) ☐ accept on to the drawing(s) be ne correction is requir	ee held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	• •								
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	\ 0.48\	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma						
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> .			al Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "5" has been used to designate both connecting board and junction circuit (see Page 17, lines12-13). Entire specification should be checked for such errors. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. It appears to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and is replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: CCD (Page 1, line 7) should be defined. Any abbreviation used must be defined at its first occurrence. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,298 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 7. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- 8. Claim 1 recites "other terminal" in line 13, which is not clear because it has no reference to any other terminal.
- 9. Claim 5 recites "a device" and "an auxiliary device" in line 4. Are these different than those in Claims 1-4 or the same?
- 10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: It does not specify where is the board mounting hole. Is it on the first base, second base, or somewhere else?
- 11. Claim 6 recites "other terminal" in line 6, which is not clear because it has no reference to any other terminal.
- 12. Claims 8 and 9 recite " a camera module by using a camera mountable on a car" which is not clear. Should not this be simply "a camera mounted on a car" or "a camera module mounted on a car"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/092,298

Art Unit: 2839

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

14. Claims 1, 3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Panella.

Panella (Figures 1-2 and 4-5) shows a connector with a connector housing (case) with a junction circuit for a device having a first base board 12d with a plurality of terminals holes with terminals 28 received therein, a second base board 12d integral with the first base board and having a plurality of terminal receiving holes with terminals 28 received therein, and a plurality of printed wires formed on a flexible base 18 for electrically connecting the terminals on the base boards 12d. The printed wires are bent at the edges. The device is mounted on a base board 26 with terminals. The connector can be connected to another mating connector

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 2, 4, 5 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panella.

Panella shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 10 above except soldering of the terminals and a mounting hole on the device. Official notice is given

that soldering and mounting holes are common knowledge and well known in the art of electrical connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use soldering for connecting the terminals to the printed wire because this would provide a permanent connection and provide a mounting hole on the device to facilitate mounting the device to some other structure as is common knowledge and well known in the art.

As to claims 8-9, the instant invention does not provide any reasons or specific problem to be solved by the device being a camera module mounted in a car. Such a feature is well known as found in most of the police cars these days.

Contact Information

17. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (571) 272-2099. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's art unit can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner February 5, 2004